

From the

NTERNATIONAL	. PRELIMINARY	EXAMINING	AUTHORITY

To: CHARLES N.J. RUGGIERO OHLANDT, GREELEY, RUGGIERO & PERLE, L.L.P. ONE LANDMARK SQUARE, 10TH FLOOR STAMFORD, CT 06901-2682 PCRECEIVED

AUG 27 2004

STAMFORD, CT 06901-2682	WRITTEN OPINION , LANDEY RUGGIÉRO & PERLE, LLP (PCT Rule 66)			
	Date of Mailing (day/month/year) 26 AUG 2004			
Applicant's or agent's file reference 884-0158WOU	REPLY DUE within 1 months/days from the above date of mailing			
	tional filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year)			
	7 2003 (29.05.2003) 29 May 2002 (29.05.2002)			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both no IPC(7): A45D 20/00 and US Cl.: 34/96 Applicant CONAIR CORPORATION	ational classification and IPC			
This written opinion is the <u>first</u> (first	, etc,) drawn by this International Preliminary Examining Authority.			
2. This opinion contains indications relat	ing to the following items:			
I Basis of the opinion II Priority III Non-establishment of opin	ion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
IV Lack of unity of invention V Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2 (a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement VI Certain documents cited				
VII Certain defects in the inter VIII Certain observations on the				
3. The applicant is hereby invited to rep	ply to this opinion.			
When? See the time limit indi	cated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, request an extension. See rule 66.2(d).			
	n reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. anguage of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9.			
For the examiner's ob	ortunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4. ligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4 bis. nunication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6			
4. The final date by which the internatio	preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion. nal preliminary d according to Rule 69.2 is 29 September 2004 (29.09.2004)			
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US	Authorized officer			
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230	Kenneth Rinehart Telephone No. 703-308-0861			

Form PCT/IPEA/408 (cover sheet)(July 1998)



International lication No.	
PCT/US03/1-127	

I.	Basi	is of the opinion
1.	With	regard to the elements of the international application:*
	\boxtimes	the international application as originally filed-
	$\overline{\boxtimes}$	the description:
		pages 1-9, as originally filed
		pages NONE, filed with the demand
		pages NONE, filed with the letter of
	\boxtimes	the claims:
		pages 10-14 , as originally filed
		pages NONE, as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
		pages NONE , filed with the demand
		pages NONE, filed with the letter of
	\boxtimes	the drawings:
	K_3	pages 1-11 , as originally filed
		pages NONE , filed with the demand
		pages NONE, filed with the letter of
		the sequence listing part of the description:
	ـــا	pages NONE, as originally filed
		pages NONE , filed with the demand
		pages NONE, filed with the letter of
	langı	regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the tage in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. The elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is: the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule23.1(b)).
	П	the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
	Ħ	the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination(under Rules
	ليا	55.2 and/or 55.3).
3.	With	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the written ion was drawn on the basis of the sequence listing:
		contained in the international application in printed form.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
	\sqcap	The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the
		international application as filed has been furnished.
		The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing
		has been furnished.
4.	\boxtimes	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
		the description, pages none
		the claims, Nos. none
		the drawings, sheets/fig none
5.	\Box	This opinion has been drawn as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go
-		beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).
* <i>F</i>	Replac	ement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in
this	opini	ion as "originally filed."



Form PCT/IPEA/408 (Box V) (July 1998)

International application No. PCT/US03/17127

V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement 1. STATEMENT Novelty (N) Claims 10, 12-19, 22-25, 27-34 YES Claims 1-9, 11, 20, 21, 26 NO Inventive Step (IS) Claims 22-24 YES Claims 1-21, 25-34 NO Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims 1-34 YES Claims NONE NO 2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS Claims 22-24 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the attachment for controlling the mixing of said ion concentration with said airflow stream and hair. Claims 1-34 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry. ----- NEW CITATIONS -----

WRITTEN OPINION

Internation polication No. PCT/USO 17 (127

Supp	lemental	Box
------	----------	-----

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

TIME LIMIT:

The time limit set for response to a Written Opinion may not be extended. 37 CFR 1.484(d). Any response received after the expiration of the time limit set in the Written Opinion will not be considered in preparing the International Preliminary Examination Report.

V. 2. Citations and Explanations:

Claims 1-9, 11, 20, 21, and 26 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Harris et al. (6393;718). Harris et al. shows a housing (fig. 1), one or more ion generators, one or more ion emitters, situated adjacent to but outside the housing (col. 2, lines 11-16), form an ion concentration outside said housing and at a distance form a users hair (col. 2, lines 11-16), said hair is encompassed by said ion concentration (col. 2, lines 2-4), at least one blower (fig. 2), at least one aperture (fig. 2), ion emitters situated at a distance form said airflow (fig. 1), said at least one attachment for cooperating with said air outlet to manipulate said airflow (8, 9, fig. 1), said at least one attachment is configured to variably control aeration of said positive and negative ions into said airflow (8, 9, fig. 1), said at least one blower alters said airflow velocity, thereby controlling aspiration of said positive and negative ions into said airflow (8, 9, fig. 1), said one or more ion emitters are positioned in a casing formed on said housing (fig. 1), said ion emitters are arranged to generate a predictable area of concentrated ions and to minimize any dilution resulting form direct exposure to said airflow (col. 3, lines 53-55), providing a device having a housing with at least one air outlet disposed therein (fig. 1), a blower for generating an airflow stream (fig. 2), one or more ion generators, and one or more ion emitters disposed outside, but adjacent said housing and spaced a distance form said air flow exiting said air outlet (23, 24, fig. 2); applying said blower generated airflow toward said hair for drying and/or styling; and generating an ion concentration having a certain area and spaced a certain distance form said airflow to minimize any dilution resulting form direct exposure to said airflow (col. 3, lines 53-55).

Claims 12-19, 27-34 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Harris et al (6,393,718). Harris et al discloses a housing (fig. 1), one or more ion generators, one or more ion emitters, situated adjacent to but outside the housing (col. 2, lines 11-16), said one or more ion emitters are positioned in a casing formed on said housing (fig. 1), providing a device having a housing with at least one air outlet disposed therein (fig. 1), a blower for generating an airflow stream (fig. 2), one or more ion generators, and one or more ion emitters disposed outside, but adjacent said housing and spaced a distance form said air flow exiting said air outlet (23, 24, fig. 2); applying said blower generated airflow toward said hair for drying and/or styling; and generating an ion concentration having a certain area and spaced a certain distance form said airflow to minimize any dilution resulting form direct exposure to said airflow (col. 3, lines 53-55). Harris et al discloses applicant's invention substantially as claimed with the exception of said casing is selectively removable from said housing, said ion emitters are formed form a conductive metal, conductive polymer, conductive silicon, said ion emitters form an array, said ion emitters create an ion concentration having a negative polarity, positive polarity, both a positive and a negative polarity. At the time the invention was made it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have the said casing is selectively removable from said housing, said ion emitters are formed form a conductive metal, conductive polymer, conductive silicon, said ion emitters form an array, said ion emitters create an ion concentration having a negative polarity, positive polarity, both a positive and a negative polarity because applicant has not disclosed that the type of material, shape of the array, or polarity of the ion concnettrationprovides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose or solves a stated problem. On e of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with either the material, shape, and polarity of Harris or the claimed material, shape, and polarity

WRITTEN OPINION





(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

because both materials shapes and polarities perform the same function of drying equally well.

Claims 10 and 25 lacks an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Harris et al in view of lee et al (6.640.040)

Harris et al discloses a housing (fig. 1), one or mor housing (col. 2, lines 11-16), said one or more ion device having a housing with at least one air outlet more ion generators, and one or more ion emitters flow exiting said air outlet (23, 24, fig. 2); applying generating an ion concentration having a certain are resulting form direct exposure to said airflow (col. with the exception of one or more ion generators are combinations of positive and negative ions. Lee et a outputs, as well as to generate combinations of positive and positive and negative ions. Lee et a generators are configured to provide a variety of votaught by Lee et al for the purpose of promoting grounds.	ng (fig. 1), providing a flow stream (fig. 2), one or a distance form said air ing and/or styling; and nimize any dilution on substantially as claimed well as to generate provide a variety of voltage noting grooming and rapid including one or more ion sitive and regative ions as			
NEW CITATIONS				
		-		
		•		